

SL 3
24.01.2024
SBIII
nm

**Calcutta High Court
In the Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Appellate Jurisdiction**

WPA/105/2024

PRAVASH PAUL
VS
UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

Mr. Kunaljit Bhattacharjee,
Mr. Alok Sah.

...For the Petitioner.

Mr. Hirak Barman,
Mr. Bikash Singha.

..For the State.

Mr. Sudipto Kr. Majumder, DSGI
Mr. Sudip Kr. Paul.

..For Union of India.

The instant writ petition arises out of grievance raised by the petitioner on account of non-payment of acquisition charges and non demarcation of the plot of land acquired by the State respondents for the National Highway Authority. A representation was filed by the petitioner through his legal representative. The same is yet to be considered.

Without entering into the merit of the claim of the petitioner, the instant writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondent no.4 to consider and dispose of the representation filed on behalf of the petitioner, in accordance with law, after giving a reasonable opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

The petitioner shall be permitted to rely upon all documents in support of his claim at the time of hearing. A reasoned order shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of this order.

Learned advocate for the petitioner is directed to forward a copy of the representation dated

24.11.2023 to the aforesaid respondent at the time of communicating the order of the Court.

It is made abundantly clear that the Court has not entered into the merit of the claim of the petitioner and all points are left open to be decided by the aforesaid respondent at the time of consideration of the representation.

Writ petition stands disposed of.

Urgent certified photocopy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties expeditiously on compliance of usual legal formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)