

WPA 11585 of 2020
with
CAN 1 of 2021

Md. Sabiur Rahaman
Vs.
Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Puspendu Chakraborty

...for the IOCL

None appears on behalf of the petitioner on first call. On second call no accommodation is prayed for.

Mr. Puspendy Chakraborty, learned counsel appears on behalf of the IOCL.

It appears that the matter is pending since 2020. Affidavit-in-opposition was filed on behalf of the OICL but the matter is not taken up for hearing due to the absence of the petitioner.

Considering the situation it appears to me that the petitioner is not at all interested to proceed with the instant writ petition.

Hence, the writ petition is dismissed for default.

Pending connected application, if any, is also disposed of.

Interim order, if any, passed during the pendency of the writ petition is also vacated.

(Subhendu Samanta, J.)