

12 13.12.2024
NB Ct. 17

WPA 29163 of 2024

Samshun Nesha
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Sajal Kanti Bhattacharyya,
Mr. Sarthak Burman,
Mr. Subir Banerjee,
Ms. Afrein Hasan Khan.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Debanjan Mukherjee.
...for the CESC.

Mr. Debjit Mukherjee,
Ms. Susmita Chatterjee.
...for the State.

Copies of documents filed on behalf of the Calcutta
Electric Supply Corporation Limited are taken on record.

Copies of the same are handed over to the learned
counsels for the other side.

The State is represented.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner
submits as follows. The petitioner is the owner of the premises
in question. He purchased a property in 2001 and applied for a
new electricity connection. He obtained it. The tenant there
was continuing with the existing electricity connection in the
name of the erstwhile owner. But, that cannot be done.
Therefore, the petitioner prays for disconnection of the
electricity given to the tenant.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the CESC
Limited denies the allegations made in the writ petition and
submits as follows. As would be evident from the relevant

documents relied upon, the meter has already been changed in the name of the tenant from the name of the erstwhile owner. Even the mistake in recording of address has been corrected.

It appears that the respondent authorities have quite lawfully altered the name of the erstwhile owner in respect of the meter to the name of the tenant in question.

Therefore, there is no merit in the writ petition.

Accordingly, the same is dismissed, however, without any order as to costs.

As affidavits were not called for, the allegations contained in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order may be supplied to the parties expeditiously, if applied for.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)