

10.12.2024
Ct. No. 35
Sl. No.24
BP/AGM

**In The High Court at Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

W.P.A 29011 of 2024

**Kallol Mukherjee
-versus-
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

**Mr. Meghajit Mukherjee.
Ms. Sweta Mohanty.
...For the Petitioner.**

**Mr. Rajarshi Basu.
Mr. Anirban Datta.
... For the State.**

**Mr. Avik Ghatak.
Mr. Sagnik Mukherjee.
... For the respondent no.4.**

Petitioner is aggrieved by the manner in which
Kulti P.S. Case 460 of 2024 is progressing.

The main grievance of the petitioner is that in
spite of the case being registered and the anticipatory
bail of the private respondents having been rejected, no
steps have been taken by the police authorities
arresting the private respondents.

Learned advocate for the private respondent no.
4 is present.

Learned advocate for the State is also present.

Arrest is a prerogative of the investigating agency
which is supposed to be for the sake of investigation. A

Court of law cannot direct the police officer to arrest a particular person who is implicated in a case.

Petitioner is also aggrieved regarding the progress of the investigation.

Having considered that the investigation has commenced in the month of September, 2024 and the case involves issue relating to cheating, forgery and impersonation, I direct the investigating officer to take effective steps so that the investigation of the case can be taken to its logical conclusion within a reasonable period of time.

With the aforesaid directions, WPA 29011 of 2024 is disposed of.

There shall be no order as to costs.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this court.

Urgent certified photocopy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties expeditiously on compliance of usual legal formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)