

WP.CT 244 of 2023
with
IA No. CAN 1 of 2024
**(Noorbanu Khatun & Ors. Vs.
Union of India & Ors.)**

Mr. Bharat Bhushan
Mr. Atindranath Misra
..... For the petitioners

Mr. Gouranga Kumar Das
.... For the respondents

Mr. Bhushan, learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioner nos. 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 being the sons of the petitioner nos. 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 respectively, had applied for appointment under the land losers scheme. As their claim was not considered, they approached the learned Tribunal. The petitioner nos. 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 were thereafter called for document verification, but they had not yet been called for the Physical Efficiency Test (hereinafter referred to as the PET).

Mr. Das, learned advocate appearing for the respondents submits that initially no decision could be taken since a review application preferred by the respondents, was pending before the learned Tribunal. However, the same had already been disposed of and that the petitioner nos. 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 would now be called for PET.

Let the written instruction, as produced, be kept on record. A copy of the same has already been handed over

to Mr. Bhushan, learned advocate appearing for the petitioners.

As the petitioner nos. 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 would be called for the PET, no further order is required to be passed in the present writ petition and the same together with the connected application are, accordingly, disposed of.

There shall, however, be no order as to costs.

All parties shall act on the server copies of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

(Partha Sarathi Chatterjee, J.) (Tapabrata Chakraborty, J.)