

19-04-2024
Subha
Item no. 23
Ct no.34

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction

CRR 4578 of 2023

Rafikul Gazi.
-versus-
State of West Bengal

Mr. Omar Faruk Gazi
Ms. Sushmita Das
....for the petitioners.

Mr. Atif Ahamed Siddiqui
...for the State.

Petitioner is aggrieved as in spite of the petitioner being in custody for one year ten months, only three witnesses out of nine witnesses so cited by the prosecution have been examined.

Having considered the anxiety so expressed by the petitioner, I am of the view that the same is not justified. The learned trial court would fix a schedule of three dates and fix such schedule once in every month so that the trial of the case can be taken to its logical conclusion within a reasonable period of time.

In case, any witness is not available, the Superintendent of Police of the concerned District or the Commissioner of Police of the concerned area would be communicated for ensuring the availability of the witnesses.

No unnecessary adjournments should be granted to either of the parties.

The Public Prosecutor appearing in the case would produce the materials, documents and exhibits on the dates fixed for examination of the witnesses concerned.

It is directed that the trial of the case would continue in spite of the resolution of the local bar and the learned participating/representing advocate will not stall the proceedings because of any such resolution.

All efforts be taken by the stake-holders to conclude the trial at the earliest.

With the aforesaid observations, the present revisional application being CRR 4578 of 2023 is disposed of.

Pending applications, if any, are consequently disposed of.

All concerned parties are to act in terms of a copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this court.

[Tirthankar Ghosh, J]