

27.11.2024
27
as
[REJECTED]

C. R. M. (A) 4120 of 2024

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Baishnabnagar Police Station Case No. 870 of 2024 dated 14.08.2024 under Sections 323/324/326/407/379/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

In Re: Jiten Mandal.

... .. Petitioner

Mr. Sujoy Sarkar,
Mr. Mujsharaf Alam.

... .. for the petitioner

Mr. Binoy Kr. Panda,
Mr. Debarshi Brahma.

... .. for the State

1. Petitioner submits there was a land dispute and he has been falsely implicated. Accordingly, he prays for anticipatory bail.
2. Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.
3. We have considered the materials on record. Petitioner hit the victim on the head with a lathi. He was hospitalized for more than five days.
4. In view of the *prima facie* involvement of the petitioner in the crime, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to him.
5. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Gaurang Kanth, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)