

38 25.04.2024
NB Ct. 14

WPA 26589 of 2023

Latika Rani Mitra
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Uday Sankar Chattopadhyay,
Ms. Rajashree Tah,
Ms. Trisha Rakshit,
Ms. Aishwarya Datta.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Biswabrata Basu Id. AGP.,
Mr. Biman Halder.
...for the State.

Mr. Debasis Kar.
...for the private respondents.

Report filed on behalf of the State is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the writ petition has become infructuous as a final report has already been submitted by the Investigating Agency seeking discharge of the accused. The petitioner being the de facto complainant intends to challenge the same by filing a protest petition.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of without any order, even as to costs.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order may be supplied to the parties expeditiously, if applied for.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)