

20.11.2024
32
Ct. No. 28
SG
[REJECTED]

C. R. M. (A) 4010 of 2024

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Contai Police Station Case No. 647/2024 dated 10.10.2024 under Sections 316(2)/318(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (G.R. Case No. 2752 of 2024).

And

In Re: **Biswajit Nayak.**

... ... Petitioner

Mr. Soumik Ganguli,
Ms. Sharmistha Das.

..... for the petitioner

Ms. Amita Gaur,
Mr. Snigdha Saha.

..... for the State

1. Petitioner submits he has been falsely implicated. He did not play any role with regard to loan advanced by '*Utkarsh Small Finance Bank*'. He prays for anticipatory bail.
2. Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.
3. We have considered the materials on record. Statements recorded during investigation show petitioner and co-accused in collusion with officials had dishonestly utilized identity cards of innocent villagers and misappropriated a sum over Rs.3 crores. Investigation is in progress and custodial interrogation is necessary to unravel the ramifications of the conspiracy and recover stolen cash.
4. Hence, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

(Subhendu Samanta, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)