

21.11.2024

11
sdas
Rejected

C.R.M. (A) No. 3964 of 2024

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita in connection with Domkal Police Station Case No. 461 of 2024 dated 25.07.2024 under Sections 85/118(2)/109(1)/3(5) of the BNS.

And

In Re :Ansar Ali Mallick @ Anchhar Mallick & Ors. petitioners

Ms. Shabana Hasin
Ms. Samima Akter

.....for the petitioners

Mr. Binoy Kumar Panda
Ms. Sanjida Sultana

....for the State

1. Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits they are in-laws of the victim lady. They have been falsely implicated. They pray for anticipatory bail.

2. Learned Counsel for the State opposes prayer for anticipatory bail.

3. We have considered the materials on record. Victim stated her husband and in-laws including the petitioners forcibly poured hair dye into her mouth. She was hospitalised. In view of the aforesaid incriminating materials we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Gaurang Kanth, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)

