

14.11.2024
43
as
[ALLOWED]

C. R. M. (A) 3929 of 2024

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Electronic Complex Police Station Case No. 168 of 2024 dated 09.09.2024 under Sections 420/406/408 of the Indian Penal Code.

In Re: ***Bishal Tekriwala @ Vishal Tekriwala.***

... .. Petitioner

Mr. Krishan Ray,
Mr. S. Mukherjee,
Mr. Anindya Chowdhury,
Mr. Subhajit Mukherjee,
Ms. Ishita Kundu.

... .. for the petitioner

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, Id. A.P.P.,
Mr. Samarjit Balial.

... .. for the State

1. Petitioner submits dispute arose out of alleged breach of contractual obligations. He had an agreement with the de-facto complainant to supply food products. When he terminated the contract and entered into similar contract with third party, a criminal case was registered. Hence, he prays for anticipatory bail.
2. Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.
3. We have considered the materials on record. A hire agreement was entered into between petitioner and de-facto complainant for valuable consideration. Part payment has been made.
4. Under such circumstances, we are of the opinion custodial interrogation of the petitioner for progress of investigation is not necessary and he may be granted anticipatory bail.

5. Accordingly, we direct that in the event of arrest, the petitioner viz., **Bishal Tekriwala @ Vishal Tekriwala** be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/-, with two sureties of like amount each, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and also subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS. He shall appear before the jurisdictional court and pray for regular bail within four weeks from date.

6. The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

(Gaurang Kanth, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)