

Court No. 1
(266111)

MAT 2203 of 2023

16.07.2024

(AD 30)

(S. Banerjee/AN)

M/s. Tescon, a partnership firm & Anr.

Vs.

The PNB & Ors.

CAN 1 of 2023

CAN 2 of 2024

Mr. Siddhartha Banerjee

Mr. Debasish Chakraborty

Mr. Shashwata Nayak

...for the respondent-Bank

1. None appears for the petitioner, although the respondent-Bank is represented.
2. Upon going through the facts as well as the reasoning recorded by the learned Single Bench, more particularly in paragraph nos. 2 and 3 of the impugned order, we find that the order passed in the writ petition does not call for any interference. The learned Single Bench rightly noted about the pendency of the civil proceeding before the Commercial Court as well as the Original Application filed by the bank before the appropriate forum.
3. Hence, no ground have been made out to interfere with the impugned order.
4. With the above observation, the appeal stands dismissed.

(T. S. Sivagnanam)
Chief Justice

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)