

12.11.2024  
22  
as  
[REJECTED]

**C. R. M. (A) 3875 of 2024**

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiyha Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Goghat Police Station Case No. 267 of 2024 dated 06.06.2024 under Sections 420/504/506/509 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 67/67A of Information Technology Act.

In Re: ***Chanchal Kumar Louhu.***

... .. Petitioner

Mr. Ayan Basu,  
Mr. Sourav Mondal,  
Mr. Sumit Routh.

... .. for the petitioner

Ms. Rituparna Ghosh,  
Ms. Pallavi P:riyadarshee.

... .. for the State

1. Petitioner contends he had a romantic relationship with the victim. He had purchased property from her father who is a land broker. There were disputes over payment of commission and petitioner has been falsely implicated. Accordingly, he prays for anticipatory bail.
2. Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits petitioner had shared obscene pictures of the victim with others.
3. We have considered the materials on record. Investigation reveals petitioner had shared obscene pictures with his friend through online messaging platform. This *prima facie* discloses his intention to subject the victim to embarrassment by showing sexually explicit/pornographic materials.
4. Keeping in mind the nature of offence, we are of the opinion this is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
5. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

**(Gaurang Kanth, J.)**

**(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)**

