

22.01.2024
Court No.29
Item No. 113
AP

CRM (A) 4834 of 2023

In re : An application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Mayureswar** Police Station Case No. **77** of **2023** dated **08.07.2023** under Sections **447/326/379/427/34** of IPC.

-And-

In the matter of : **Badre Alam Mirdda and Ors.**

... ..Petitioners.

Mr. Sujan Chatterjee

... ..For the State.

Request for video conferencing was made on behalf of the petitioners.

Advocate for the petitioners making the request was allowed to enter the video conferencing facility. When the matter was taken up, he did not respond on the video conferencing platform.

In such circumstances, we consider that the petitioners are not to be represented at time of hearing.

State is represented.

In such circumstances, the application for anticipatory bail is dismissed for default.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)