

12.11.2024

56
sdas
rejected

C.R.M.(DB) No. 3529 of 2024

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita in connection with Thanarpara Police Station Case No. 72 of 2005 dated 09.10.2005 under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act.

And

In Re : Swapan @ Baban Sutradhar petitioner

Mr. Oebraj Shil

....for the petitioner

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy, learned APP

Ms. Nahid Ahmed

... for the State

1. Heard the learned Counsels for the parties.

2. We have considered the materials on record.

Petitioner had absconded on a number of occasions. Case was registered in 2005. Due to abscondence trial could not be concluded. Most of the prosecution witnesses have been examined. Under such circumstances, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

3. Application for bail is, thus, rejected.

4. We request the trial court to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible without granting unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties.

(Gaurang Kanth, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)