

04.11.2024
67
as
[REJECTED]

C. R. M. (A) 3731 of 2024

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Hare Street Police Station Case No. 214 of 2018 dated 06.08.2018 under Sections 120B/420/467/468/471 of the Indian Penal Code.

In Re: ***Pintu Naskar.***

... .. Petitioner

Md. Shamim Halder.

... .. for the petitioner

Mrs. Amita Gaur,
Mr. Habib Hassan.

... .. for the State

1. Petitioner contends dispute arose out of a commercial transaction. Allegation of submitting a forged document is false. Accordingly, he prays for anticipatory bail.
2. Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. She contends petitioner had used a forged document to obtain loan to purchase a commercial vehicle. Subsequently, he has failed to repay the loan.
3. We have considered the materials on record. Petitioners had fraudulently obtained loan to purchase vehicle. He failed to repay the loan. Criminal case was registered in 2018. Thereafter, they had absconded.
4. Keeping in mind the aforesaid circumstances, we are of the opinion custodial interrogation of the petitioner for progress of investigation is necessary and this is not a fit case to grant bail to the petitioner.
5. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Gaurang Kanth, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)