

MI 651
Dt.01.04.24
sandip
Ct. 18
30.04.2024

W.P.A. 23889 of 2023

Sudipti Das
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Kaustubh Chandra. Das
Sk. Sahjahan Ali ... For the petitioner,

Mr. Saurav Chaudhuri,
Ms. Deblina Dasgupta ... For the State.

Affidavit-of-service filed on behalf of the petitioner be kept with the record.

The petitioner is an approved Assistant Teacher of B. B. P. C. Girl High School (H.S.), District – Howrah of the subject Mathematics.

The District Inspector of Schools (S.E.), Howrah, the respondent no. 4 herein by the impugned memo bearing no. 57/LS dated April 16, 2018 has rejected the prayer of the petitioner for higher scale of pay on enhancement of her qualification in the relevant subject on the ground that the petitioner did not obtain prior permission of the said respondent to pursue her such higher studies.

Mr. Das, learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was pursuing her said higher studies before joining the service, therefore no situation to take such prior permission arose, consequently, denial of said higher scale of pay for want of such prior permission is not sustainable. He further submits that the issue is now settled by the different judgments of this Court, particularly, by the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court.

It appears from the impugned memo that the judgments of this Court on the subject were not placed before the respondent no. 4.

The impugned Memo is therefore set aside for a fresh decision on the issue. The respondent no. 4 is directed to re-consider the entitlement of the petitioner to receive higher scale of pay, as prayed for, if necessary, after giving the petitioner/her representative an opportunity of hearing. The petitioner is obliged to place all relevant judgments of this Court before the said respondent at the time of consideration of her prayer.

The respondent no. 4 shall decide the referred issue within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of this order.

W.P.A. 23889 of 2023 is thus disposed of with the above terms without any order as to costs.

Since no affidavit has been invited, the allegations made in the writ petition are deemed to have been denied by the respondents.

Parties to act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Biswajit Basu, J.)