

28.
16-12-2024
(ct. no.29)
debajyoti
(rejected)

CRM (NDPS) 1587 of 2024

In re: An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure/483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita in connection with Bandel GRPS Police Station Case No.20 of 2023 dated 11-08-2023 under Section 20(b)(ii)(c) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act.

- A n d -

In the matter of : Sonu Kumar Sah

.... Petitioner.

Ms. Jeenia Rudra,
Ms. Sangita Ray,
Ms. Sangeeta Halder

... For the Petitioner.

Ms. Anasuya Sinha, learned APP,
Ms. Mausumi Sarkar

... For the State.

Dictated by Apurba Sinha Ray, J.

1. Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in the judicial custody for one year four months. There is no chance of an early conclusion of the trial since the prosecution has examined only one witness out of fifteen charge sheet named witnesses. The petitioner may be enlarged on bail on any condition.

2. Report filed by the State is taken on record.

3. Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail. She submits that the prosecution will examine thirteen witnesses in this case and out of thirteen witnesses, one has already been examined. There is sufficient incriminating material against this petitioner and if he is enlarged on bail,

the prosecution may suffer. However, the prosecution will conclude the trial within eight months from this date.

4. We have considered the material on record. We find that there is sufficient incriminating material against the present petitioner. We are not inclined to allow the prayer of the petitioner for bail, at this stage.

5. The application for bail is, thus, **dismissed**.

6. All parties shall act on the server copies of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

7. Criminal Section is directed to supply certified copies of this order to the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all necessary formalities.

(Arijit Banerjee, J.)

(Apurba Sinha Ray, J.)