

30.09.2024
Court No.29
Item No. 30

sg

CRM (A) 3480 of 2024

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure corresponding to Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, in connection with Kaliyaganj Police Station Case No. 271 of 2024 dated 01.07.2024 under Sections 493/376/354/511/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re: **Anowar Ali Sarkar**

Petitioner

Mr. Kaushik Chaudhury
Ms. B. Khatun

For the Petitioner

Mr. Antariksha Basu
Mr. Suparna Chatterjee

For the State

Mr. Pronojit Roy

For the defacto complainant

1. We have heard the learned Counsel for the parties.
2. Considering the materials available in the case diary, the nature and extent of complicity of the petitioner in the commission of alleged offence and the statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and the medical report, we are of the prima facie opinion that a case has been made out against the present petitioner. It also appears that the main allegation is against the present petitioner. In view thereof, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.
3. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Soumen Sen, J.)

(Uday Kumar, J.)