

D/L.71.
October 3, 2024.
MNS.

WPA No. 23273 of 2024

Kulsuma Bibi
Vs.
The State of West Bengal and others

Mr. Debasis Sur,
Mr. Angshuman Patra

... for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajarshi Basu,
Mr. Ananda Dulal Sarkar

...for the State.

The petitioner alleges that she was tortured by her in-laws in her matrimonial home and she lodged complaints in that regard before the police authorities. The learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that in spite of several complaints being lodged, no steps have been taken by the police authorities.

The learned Advocate appearing for the State files a report of the Officer-in-Charge of Memari Police Station, District- Purba Bardhaman dated October 1, 2024, which is taken on record.

It appears from the said report that the Memari Police Station received a written complaint on February 27, 2024 from the petitioner and on the basis of such complaint, Memari Police Station Case No. 178 of 2024 dated February 27, 2024 under Sections 498A/406 of the Indian Penal Code was

registered and after completion of investigation a chargesheet vide Memari Police Station Chargesheet No. 219 of 2024 dated April 21, 2024 under Section 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code has been filed against the FIR named accused. It appears further from the said report that on July 3, 2024, Memari Police Station received another complaint, which was forwarded by the learned jurisdictional court in respect of an incident that took place on or about June 7, 2024. It appears from the said report that in respect of the said incident on June 7, 2024, Memari Police Station Case No. 560 of 2024 dated July 3, 2024 under Section 354 of the Indian Penal Code was registered. The investigation is in progress. Statements have been recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and after completion of investigation, Chargesheet vide Memari Police Station Chargesheet No. 517 of 2024 dated July 31, 2024 under Section 354 of the Indian Penal Code has also been submitted against the FIR named accused.

It appears from the said report that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed. Nothing further remains to be decided in this writ petition. The petitioner will be at liberty to take appropriate steps before the jurisdictional court, if the petitioner is aggrieved.

With the above observations and directions, the writ petition stands disposed of.

There will be no order as to costs.

Photostat certified copy, if applied for, be given to the parties.

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)