

D/L 23
04.11.2024
Kausik
ct.no.35

W.P.A. 23182 of 2024

**Rehena Sardar
Versus
State of West Bengal and Ors.**

Sk. Md. Ismail
Mr. Akhtar
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Suman Sengupta, Sr. Govt. Adv
Mr. Sambuddha Dutta
...for the State.

Affidavit of service so filed be kept with
record.

Learned advocate appearing on behalf of
the petitioner is aggrieved that no steps have been
taken in respect of the representation made with the
Officer-in-Charge, Basanti Police Station in respect of
the consequences which she had to face near the
court premises.

Mr. Sengupta learned advocate appearing
on behalf of the State has submitted a report. Report
reflects that prosecution under Section 351(2) of BNS
has been initiated against respondent nos. 8 to 10.
Having considered that the police authorities have
already taken steps, I am of the view that the steps
which have been taken should be taken to its logical
conclusion.

At this stage no interference is called for. A copy of the report be handed over to the learned advocate appearing for the petitioner.

If the petitioner has further grievance, it would be the liberty of the petitioner to approach the jurisdictional court in seisin of the matter.

With the aforesaid observations WPA 23182 of 2024 is disposed of.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)