

30.09.2024
Sl. No. 70
g.b.
Court No.07

W.P.A. 22674 of 2024

Amiyo Hazra
-Vs-
The State of W. B. & Ors.

Mr. Pintu Karar
Mr. Sourav Roy
.....For the Petitioner
Mr. Susovan Sengupta
Mr. Tarak Karan
.....For the State
Mr. Avik Ghatak
Mr. Fahad Iman
.....For the Respondent Nos. 5 and 6

The petitioner alleges that pursuant to an agreement for sale in respect of an immovable property, the petitioner has paid a substantial sum of money to the private respondents.

The petitioner further alleges that the private respondents are neither executing the deed of conveyance in favour of the petitioner nor refunding the earnest money paid by the petitioner in terms of the agreement for sale.

Heard the learned advocates for the private respondents and the State.

Mr. Sengutpa, learned senior advocate submits that on the basis of the complaint lodged by the petitioner, an FIR has already been registered.

After hearing the learned advocates for the parties it appears that the dispute between the petitioner and the private respondents is with regard to an agreement for sale pertaining to an immovable property. Such a dispute being civil in nature, the petitioner has to approach the competent civil court for appropriate reliefs in this regard.

Since FIR has already been registered pursuant to a complaint lodged by the petitioner, the concerned

Investigating Officer is directed to conclude the investigation expeditiously and to take all consequential steps thereupon.

With the above observation and direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

There shall be no order as to costs.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties after completion of all necessary formalities.

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)