

19.09.2024

Sl.No. 13
Ct.No. 1
RP/Amalranjan

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

WPA (P) 386 of 2024

Siddhiswar Halder
VS

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Sudarshan Ghosh
...for the petitioner (in person)

Mr. Sukdeb Sarkar
...for the respondent no.9

Mr. Lalit Mohan Mahata
Mr. Prasanta Behari Mahata
...for the State respondents

1. By filing this public interest litigation the petitioner alleges that there is illegal construction made by the private respondent upon converting the land in question.
2. Learned advocate for the State/respondent submitted that the petitioner himself has purchased a portion of the land in question.
3. If that be the case, this matter cannot be treated as public interest litigation. Therefore, the same is dismissed. However, the representation given by the petitioner can be pursued before the authority and it is expected that the

authority should proceed to take action in accordance with law as stated in the report filed by the Block Land and Land Reforms Officer, Joynagar-II, South 24 Parganas by memo no.1980/BDO JOY-II dated 12.09.2024.

(T.S. Sivagnanam)
(Chief Justice)

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)