

WPA 21579 of 2023

Satya Bhusan Rana
Vs.
The State of W.B. & Ors.

Mr. Manoranjan Jana
Ms. Mitali Jana ...for the petitioner.

Mr. Hemanta Kr. Das ...for the State.

None appears for the private respondent despite service.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

The petitioner claims to be the recorded owner of the plot in question and submits that the private respondent has raised unauthorised construction by encroaching upon a portion of PWD land adjoining his property, thereby obstructing his egress and ingress.

The petitioner submitted a representation in this regard before the concerned authority on 18th May, 2023 which is yet to be considered. The petitioner prays for a direction upon the concerned authority to consider the representation at the earliest.

Learned counsel for the State respondents submits that the 3rd respondent be directed to consider the representation, in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of directing the 3rd respondent to consider and dispose of the

representation submitted by the petitioner dated 18th May, 2023 within three months from the date of communication of this order upon affording reasonable opportunity of hearing to all concerned including the petitioner and the private respondent, in accordance with law.

There shall, however, be no order as to costs.

Since no affidavit is invited, the allegations contained in the petition are deemed not to be admitted.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)