

Sl.No.
6 04.11.2024
Court
No. 35
G.S.Das

WPA 21934 of 2024

Sri Sushil Kumar Paul
-Vs-
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Ms. Jyotsna Roy Mukherjee
... for the State

None appears either for the
petitioner or for the State.

As none appears on behalf of the
State, Ms. Jyotsna Roy Mukherjee, learned
advocate, who ordinarily appears on behalf
of the State, is directed to represent the
State. Her appearance may be regularized
by the concerned authority.

I have considered the contentions
advanced in the writ petition and I find
that the dispute which has been
canvassed, absolutely relates to a civil
dispute.

The petitioner has already approached the learned Executive Magistrate under Section 126 and under Section 163 of the BNSS.

Having considered the dispute which has been canvassed in the writ petition, I am of the view that any interference of this court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is not called for.

However, as the learned Executive Magistrate is *in seisin* of the matter, the police authorities would keep a strict vigil and ensure that no breach of peace and tranquility takes place at the locale.

With the aforesaid observations, WPA 21934 of 2024 is disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court,

Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties, subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)