

Court No. 2
02.7.2024
(Item No. ML-61)
(AB)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side
W.P.A. 20654 of 2023

Shri Shiba Prasad Bhakat
VS
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Kushal Chatterjee
Mr. Shibjit Mitra
.... For the petitioner
Mr. Deb Narayan Banerjee
Md. Ahsanuzzaman
.... For the State

Affidavit of service filed in Court today, is taken on record.

Mr. Shibjit Mitra, learned advocate appears for the petitioner.

Mr. Deb Narayn Banerjee, learned State advocate appears for respondent Nos. 1, 5 and 6.

The Pradhan is not represented, despite notice. The law presumes the respondent No. 2 does not want to defend this writ petition.

The petitioner referring to the statutory application claiming sanction plan, **annexure P-3** at **page 17** to the writ petition submits that, the Pradhan has not yet been considered the same and the same is lying pending.

In view of the above, the respondent No. 2, the Pradhan of the concerned Gram Panchayat is directed to dispose of the said application being **annexure P-3** at **page 17** to the writ petition strictly in accordance

with law. If necessary, the Pradhan shall call upon the petitioner for assistance and in that event, the petitioner shall render all necessary assistance to the Pradhan.

The entire exercise as directed above shall be and must be carried out and completed by the Pradhan positively within a period of **four weeks** from the communication of this order.

Since affidavits are not called for, the allegations made in this writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted by the respondents.

On the above terms, this writ petition **W.P.A 20654 of 2023** stands **disposed of**, without any order as to costs.

Urgent certified photo copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties expeditiously on compliance of usual legal formalities.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)