

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
CRIMINAL REVISIONAL JURISDICTION**

01.10.2024  
17  
sdas

**C.R.R. No. 3468 of 2024**

In Re : Dharmendra Kumar Singh .....petitioner

Mr. Sujoy Sankar  
Mr. Indranil Roy Chowdhury  
Ms. Moumita Pandit  
..... for the petitioner

1. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits no enquiry under Section 202 of the Code of Criminal Procedure had not been undertaken. It is also contended that the ingredients of the offence made under Section 135 of the N.I. Act are not disclosed.

2. We have considered the materials on record. It is alleged the cheque issued by the petitioner upon presentation was dishonoured. Notice of dishonour was issued within the stipulated time frame. Affidavit evidence under Section 145 of the Negotiable Instrument Act was filed and upon satisfaction, summons was issued upon the petitioner. Given this situation, I am of the opinion adequate enquiry had been undertaken prior to issuance of the impugned process.

3. Other factual issues raised by the petitioner may be canvassed in course of trial in accordance with law.

4. With these observations, the revisional application is disposed of.

5. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, shall be given to the parties as expeditiously as possible on compliance of all necessary formalities.

**(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)**