

February 6, 2024
ARDR (630)

WPA 19988 of 2023

Amina Bibi @ Khatun Bibi & ors.
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & ors.

Adv. Golam Mastafa,
Adv. Tarasankar Samanta,
...for the petitioners.
Adv. Sk. Afrojul Haque,
...for the respondent nos. 6 & 7.
Adv. S. M. Hassan,
Adv. Anupama Yasmin,
...for the Municipality.

Affidavit of service filed by the petitioners is taken on record.

None appears for the State respondents despite service.

Mr. Soumitra Bandyopadhyay, advocate, who usually appears for the State and is present in Court today, is requested to represent the State along with his junior Mr. Srinath Singha Roy, advocate in this matter.

Their appointment be regularised by the office of the learned Legal Remembrancer.

The petitioner is directed to serve copy of the writ petition along with annexures thereto upon Mr. Singha Roy, advocate in course of this day.

The petitioners seek to withdraw the writ petition and approach the appropriate forum for redressal of their grievance.

In view of the above, the writ petition, being WPA 19988 of 2023 is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Liberty is granted to the petitioners to approach the appropriate forum for redressal of their grievance.

There shall however, be no order as to costs.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)