

30
01.03.2024
Ct. No.10
b.das

WPA 19285 of 2023

Samar Giri
Vs.
The State of W. B. & Ors.

Mr. Partha Sarathi Mondal ...for the petitioner.

Affidavit of service filed by the petitioner is taken on record.

None appears for the State respondents despite service.

Mr. Chandi Charan De, who usually appears for the State and is present in Court, is requested to represent the State in the matter. His appointment be regularized by the office of the learned Legal Remembrancer.

The petitioners should serve copy of the writ petition along with all annexures thereto to Mr. De in course of this day.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

The petitioner has come up before this Court with a strange grievance. He submits that the respondent authorities proposed to install the submersible pump on his land initially and has subsequently installed the same in the land of some other person. No case of infringement of any legal or any other right whatsoever of the petitioner has been made out in the instant application.

In view of the above, the writ petition being WPA 19285 of 2023 is dismissed, being not maintainable.

However, since the petitioner submits that he has submitted certain documents before the respondent authorities in this connection, liberty is granted to the petitioner to apply before the authority for return of such documents, if any.

There shall, however, be no order as to costs.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)