

24.09.2024
Item No.102
Ct. No.35
dc.

W.P.A. 19439 of 2024

**Debjani Chakraborty
versus
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Rafikul Islam Sardar ... For the Petitioner.

Mr. K. L. Mandal,
Ms. Priya Halder ... For the State.

Ms. Sutapa Sanyal,
Mr. Ritesh Kumar Ganguly,
Ms. Utsa Poddar ... For the Respondent No.4.

Affidavit-of-service filed in Court today be kept with the record.

Report submitted by the learned advocate appearing for the State be also kept with the record.

Prima facie, the police authorities conducted an enquiry which do not support the contentions of the present petitioner.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the incident which occurred resulted in a cognizable offence at the instance of respondent no.4.

Learned advocate appearing for the respondent no.4 opposes and resists such contention of the petitioner.

I have considered the submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioner, the State and the respondent no.4 and after considering the report so submitted by the State, I grant liberty to the petitioner to approach the jurisdictional criminal

court for appropriate remedies under the law. No interference at this stage by this Court is called for.

With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition being WPA 19439 of 2024 is disposed of.

Pending connected application, if any, is consequently disposed of.

There shall be no order as to costs.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)