

CRM (DB) 2349 of 2024

In re: An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **English Bazar Police Station** Case No. **1827 of 2023** dated **03.11.2023** under Sections **395/412/120B** of Indian Penal Code.

- A n d -

In the matter of : Karim Sk & Anr.

.... Petitioners.

Mr. Sagar Saha,
Mr. N. Seikh,

... For the Petitioners.

Mr. Ranadeb Sengupta,
Mr. Bikram Mitra,

... For the State.

1. Petitioners submit they had not been put up for T.I. parade. Recovery of stolen articles had not been made from them. Accordingly, they pray for bail.

2. Learned lawyer opposes the bail prayer. He submits the petitioners have criminal antecedents. The stolen articles were recovered from their possession pursuant to statement of co-accused. CDRs show telephonic exchanges between the co-accused and the petitioners at the time of occurrence.

3. We have considered the materials on record. Petitioners have criminal antecedents. CDRs show there were telephonic exchanges between the petitioner and the co-accused who were involved in dacoity. On the leading statement of the co-accused, some of the stolen articles were recovered from the possession of the petitioners.

4. In view of the aforesaid incriminating circumstances and the criminal antecedents of the petitioners, we are not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage.

5. CRM (DB) 2349 of 2024 is, thus, dismissed.

(**Gaurang Kanth, J.**)

(**Joymalya Bagchi, J.**)