

Court No. 29
(266041)

CRM (A) 2493 of 2024

29.07.2024
(AD 19)
(S. Banerjee)

In re: ^e An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita in connection with Domkal Police Station Case No. 855 of 2023 dated 15.12.2023 under Sections 341/325/308/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

(Rejected)

And

In the matter of: Suraj Malitha

...petitioner

Mr. Arnab Chatterjee
Ms. Dhanasree Biswas
Ms. Poulami Bose

... for the petitioner

Mr. Sekhar Barman

... for the State

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that one co-accused, Muluk Malitha, although named in the FIR, has been discharged and the petitioner stands on the same footing.
2. Such prayer is opposed on behalf of the State.
3. Considering the materials available in the case diary, statement of the victim directly implicating the petitioner and the said statement read with the injury report which shows that no the injuries are grievous in nature, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
4. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail stands rejected.

(Uday Kumar, J.)

(Soumen Sen, J.)