

22
04.09.2024
AGM

**In The High Court At Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

W.P.A. 18028 of 2024

**Nilmoni Kundu
-versus
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

**Mr. Kalyan Kumar Panda.
Mr. Uttam Kumar Roy.
...For the Petitioner.**

**Ms. Chandana Ghosh.
... For the State.**

Affidavit-of-service filed in Court today is taken on record.

None appears on behalf of the State respondents in spite of service.

The petitioner was appointed a Head Teacher of a Primary School. He retired from service on **31.10.2019**. The first pension payment order was issued on **14.01.2020** and the gratuity and pension amount was disbursed on **31.03.2020**. Under the ROPA Rules, 2019 there was revision of the pension and gratuity amount payable to the petitioner. The revised pension payment order was issued on **10.01.2022** and the arrear revised pension was disbursed on **01.02.2022** in terms of ROPA 2019. The petitioner claims interest on delayed payment of revised gratuity as also revised arrear pension.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and considered the orders passed by this Court in similar facts.

It is settled law that the right of a retired employee to get his retiral dues as and when the same becomes due and payable. If payment of the retiral dues is delayed the retired employee is surely entitled to get some interest for such delayed payment.

In the present case, it was the bounden duty of the State to disburse the pension and revised pension amount on the due date. If it has failed to do so and has released such amount after unexplained delay, it is obliged to pay interest to the retired employee.

In view of the aforesaid, I direct the concerned Treasury Officer to pay interest to the writ petitioner at the rate of 7% per annum on the revised gratuity and revised arrear pension calculated on and from **3.7.2020** till the date of actual payment, **provided the delay caused was not attributable to the petitioner.**

The Treasury Officer shall not be obliged to pay interest if the delay was caused on account of any lapse on the part of the teacher.

Such payment is to be made within eight weeks from the date of communication of the certified copy of this order to the concerned authorities.

Since no affidavit in opposition has been invited, the allegations contained in the writ petition are deemed not be admitted.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order be supplied to the parties, if applied for, as early as possible.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)