

14.05.2024
Item No.20
RP
Ct. No.1

CPAN 961 of 2023
In
MAT 481 of 2023
Chandan Pal
Vs.
Smt. K. Radhika Aiyar, the District
Magistrate

Ms. Pampa Dey Dhabal
Ms. Sangita Banerjee
.....for Applicant

Mr. Madhusudan Saha Roy
.....for WBSEDCL

Mr. T.M. Siddique
Mr. Tanoy Chakraborty
Mr. Saptak Sanyal
..... for State

Mr. Sudip Banerjee
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Das
..... for Private Respondent

The order and direction of this Court has been complied with though belatedly. The order dated 26th May, 2023 passed by the District Magistrate, Bankura has been implemented. The learned advocate for the private respondent in the appeal would submit that the documents produced by him was not considered by the District Magistrate while passing the order dated 26th May, 2023. It is submitted by the learned advocate for the WBSEDCL that the District Magistrate had heard all the parties including the private respondent in the appeal. Therefore, if the private respondent has any grievance against the order of the District Magistrate it has to be challenged before the appropriate forum and the correctness of the said order cannot be gone

into in this contempt application. Accordingly, the contempt application is disposed of.

(T. S. SIVAGNANAM)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(HIRANMAY BHATTACHARYYA, J.)