

30.09.2024
Sl. No.5(DL)
srm

W.P.A. No. 17412 of 2024

Santanu Gain

Versus

Bank of Baroda & Anr.

Mr. Nilratan Banerjee,
Mr. Prosenjit De,
Mr. Victor Chatterjee

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Sarkar,
Ms. Dipika Sarkar

...for the Respondent Nos.1, 2 & 4.

This Court is not inclined to go into the question of involvement of the petitioner in the investigation of fraudulent transfers and a commission of cybercrimes, which was initiated at Gujarat. The bank account was frozen on account of such investigation.

The specific submission of the learned Advocate for the Bank of Baroda is that the bank is willing to de-freeze the savings bank account of the petitioner on the ground that further fraudulent activity had not been detected. In any event, it is entirely the prerogative of the bank if they want to keep the account frozen or not.

Under such circumstances and on such submission of the bank, no further order is required to be passed. The bank will act, as submitted before this Court, immediately.

The writ petition is, thus, disposed of.

There shall be no order as to costs.

All parties are to act on the basis of the server copy of this order.

(Shampa Sarkar, J.)