

29.07.2024
SL No.6
Court No.29
(gc)
(Rejected)

CRM (A) 2329 of 2024

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure corresponding to Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 filed in connection with Santipur Police Station Case No.486 of 2024 dated 19.04.2024 under Sections 498(A)/354(B)/376/511/506/509 & 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In the matter of : **Bijay Mondal @ Bijoy Mandal**

- Petitioner.

Mr. Sumanta Das

....For the Petitioner.

Mr. Atanu Basu,

Mr. Indranil Dutta

....For the De facto Complainant.

Ms. Subhasree Patel,

Ms. Ankita Paul

... For the State.

1. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that due to property dispute, the petitioner has been falsely implicated. The petitioner is the brother-in-law of the victim.
2. The learned Counsel for the State and the de facto complainant have opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.
3. Considering the materials available in the case diary and the statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C. directly implicating the petitioner for the alleged offence, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
4. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

5. All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Soumen Sen, J.)

(Uday Kumar, J.)