

**26.06.2024
Item No.23
BR**

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

CRR 2333 of 2018

Nasira Begam

-vs-

Sk. Tahajuddin and Others.

Mr. Altaf Hossain,

Mr. Sk. Humayan Reza

... for the petitioner

Ms. Faria Hossain,

Ms. Debjani Sahu

.... For the State

The petitioner Nasira Begam , wife of Sk. Tahajuddin is present in Court personally.

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the matter has been settled out of Court and petitioner is residing with her husband peacefully in her matrimonial home.

During investigation she has also informed to the investigating officer with regard to the settlement. Despite of the said fact, charge sheet has been submitted against the opposite parties being charge sheet No. 356 of 2016 dated 31.10.2016 under Sections 498A of the Indian Penal Code. Accordingly, she prays for

quashing of the said FIR as well as charge sheet. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State produce the case diary and also concedes that during investigation the defacto complainant Nasira Begam had written a letter to the investigating officer with regard to the settlement amongst the petitioner, husband and in-laws and she is now peacefully residing in her matrimonial home.

In view of above facts and circumstances there is no need to proceed with the case any further as the matter has been settled between the parties and petitioner is leading her conjugal life peacefully in the matrimonial home.

This Court is of the view that no purpose would be served by continuing the proceeding. Accordingly, proceeding deserve to be quashed under the inherent powers granted under Section 482 of the Cr. P.C.

Thus, proceeding/FIR being Singur P.S. Case No. 165 of 2016 dated 8.6.2016 under Sections 498A/406 of the Indian Penal Code corresponding to GR case No. 617 of 2016 pending before the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate at Chandannagar, District-Hooghly as well as charge sheet submitted therein is hereby quashed.

Accordingly, CRR 2333 of 2018 is, thus, disposed of.

Interim order, if any, be vacated.

Let a copy of this order be communicated to the learned Court below for information.

.

(Ajay Kumar Gupta , J.)