

April 18, 2024  
(19) ARDR

**WPA 16321 of 2022**

Premi Arora  
Vs.  
The State of West Bengal & ors.

Adv. Mir Anowar,  
Adv. Debanjali Payra,  
...for the petitioner.  
Adv. Sanjay Saha,  
Adv. Subhasish Bhattacharya,  
...for the respondent no.4.  
Adv. Soumitra Bandyopadhyay,  
Adv. Priyabrata Batabyal,  
...for the State.

Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the petitioner  
is taken on record.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

Being the highest bidder in an e-auction floated by  
the authority on 17<sup>th</sup> January, 2017, the petitioner was  
granted long term mining lease for a period of five years  
vide deed of lease registered on 12<sup>th</sup> December, 2017. The  
lease expired on 11<sup>th</sup> December, 2022. The petitioner was  
unable to carry on mining operation due to covid-19  
pandemic and has sought extension of the period of  
lease.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has taken this  
Court to Clause 5 of part IX of the deed of lease which  
demonstrates that if through force majeure the  
fulfillment by the lessee of any of the terms and  
conditions of the lease be delayed, the period of such  
delay be added to the period fixed by this lease.

Learned counsel has also drawn attention of the Court to an office memorandum issued by the Department of Expenditure, Procurement Policy Division, Ministry of Finance, Government of India dated 13<sup>th</sup> May, 2020 which recognises the restriction placed on the movement of goods, services and manpower on account of lockdown situation and permits the parties to the contract to invoke force majeure clause. The memorandum states that date for completion of contractual obligations which had to be completed on or after 20<sup>th</sup> February, 2020, shall stand extended for a period of not less than three months and not more than six months without imposition of any cost or penalty subject to the parties not being in default of the contractual obligations as on 19<sup>th</sup> January, 2020.

The petitioner submitted a representation in this regard before the concerned authority on 20<sup>th</sup> May, 2022 which is yet to be considered. The petitioner prays for a direction upon the authority to consider the representation at the earliest.

Learned counsel for the respondents submit that the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent be directed to consider the representation in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of directing the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent to consider and dispose of the representation submitted by the petitioner dated 20<sup>th</sup> May, 2022 within six weeks from the date of communication of this order upon affording reasonable

opportunity of hearing to all the stakeholders including the petitioner, in accordance with law.

The decision taken by the authority shall be communicated to the petitioner within a week thereof.

There shall however, be no order as to costs.

Since no affidavit is invited, the allegations contained in the petition are deemed not to have been admitted.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

**(Suvra Ghosh, J.)**