

CRM (DB) 2027 of 2024

In re: An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Suri Police Station** Case No. **172 of 2024** dated **27.03.2024** under Sections **363/365/302/201/120B/34** of Indian Penal Code and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act.

- A n d -

In the matter of : Talka Tudu @ Tarak

.... Petitioner.

Mr. Sudipto Maitra,
Mr. Sujoy Sarkar,
Mr. D. Biswas,

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Debasish Roy,
Mr. Suman Dey,
Mr. B. Kumar Roy,

... For the State.

Mr. S. Roy Chowdhury,
Ms. M. Roy Chowdhury,

... for the defacto complainant.

Order dictated by Apurba Sinha Ray, J:

Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He has also referred to the statements of the witnesses recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure showing the improbability of the allegations in the FIR.

Learned Advocate for the State raises strong objection. He says that the present petitioner was last seen with the victim and there are sufficient incriminating materials against the present petitioner. On the basis of his leading statement the dead body of the victim was recovered. Charge sheet has already been submitted.

Learned Advocate for the defacto complainant also submits that the petitioner is involved in another criminal case. He prays that the petitioner should not be enlarged on bail.

We have considered the materials on record and the case diary. *Prima facie* there are sufficient incriminating materials against the present petitioner. We are not inclined to allow the petitioner's prayer for bail at this stage.

CRM (DB) 2027 of 2024 is, thus, dismissed.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Apurba Sinha Ray, J.)

(Arijit Banerjee, J.)