

45 23.04.2024
(AD) Court No.29

C.R.M. (DB) 2660 of 2023

In Re: - An application for cancellation of anticipatory bail under Section 439(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

And

In the matter of: Shovarani Bishnu

.... petitioner.

Mr. Sumanta Ganguly

Mr. Dhiman Banerjee ... for the petitioner.

Ms. Faria Hossain

... for the State

Mr. Samrat Choudhury

Mr. Debnandan Bhattacharyya

... for the opposite party nos.2 to 4.

1. Supplementary affidavit filed in Court be taken on record.
2. Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that, the private opposite parties are guilty of post-bail misconduct subsequent to the order dated April 18, 2023 passed in CRM (A) 1620 of 2023. He refers to Page-11 of the supplementary affidavit and submits that, in response to a Right to Information Act, police stated that the private opposite parties did not comply with the order dated April 18, 2023. He submits that, the private opposite parties are threatening the petitioner.
3. State and the private opposite parties are represented.
4. Learned Advocate appearing for the private opposite parties relies upon the attendance before the Investigating Officer.
5. It appears from the records that, police filed charge sheet, *inter alia*, under Sections 323/354/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.
6. We find there is substantial compliance with the order dated April 18, 2023 granting anticipatory bail. Moreover, police filed

charge sheet as noted above. The allegation of post-bail misconduct remains unsubstantiated largely.

7. In such circumstances, we find no merit in the present application.
8. Accordingly, **C.R.M. (DB) 2660 of 2023** is dismissed without any order as to costs.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)