

Item No.3

Ct.No.34

b.das

C.R.M. (SB) 86 of 2024

And

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy
Ms. Sanjana Saha ... For the State.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the petitioner is the mastermind who hacked the account of the company and created fake accounts in his name where the payment of money by the complainant was insisted upon by the petitioner. Investigation with regard to the three other accounts, where the money was allegedly diverted by the petitioner, is still in progress.

Allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature. The petitioner is a resident of Bihar and claims to be working in Mumbai.

Considering the nature of allegation, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage. The prayer for bail is rejected.

The application for bail, being CRM (SB) 86 of 2024, is, thus, disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)