

25 15.03.
Ct 2024
237
rup

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL REVISIONAL JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

CRR 2210 of 2016

Srinath Mukherjee
versus
Srabani Banerjee

Mr. Probal Kr. Halder,
Mr. C. Halder,
Mr. S Halder

... for the petitioner.

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner filed this revisional application being aggrieved by the order and judgment dated 23.02.2016 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, 2nd Court, Serampore, Hooghly in connection with Criminal Appeal No. 02 of 2015 under Section 374 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, whereby the judgment and order of conviction dated 13.06.2007 passed by the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Serampore in connection with CR Case No. 91 of 2006 was affirmed.
2. At this stage, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has filed one order of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate passed on 05.02.2019 in connection with CR Case No. 91 of 2006 whereby the case was disposed of on settlement between the parties. The copy of the order be kept with the record.

3. Accordingly, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that the instant revisional application has become infructuous.
4. Given facts and circumstances, the instant revisional application stands dismissed being infructuous.
5. Connected application, if there be any, also stands dismissed.
6. Interim order, if any, stands vacated.
7. All parties shall act on the server copies of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)