

09.7.2024
SL No.28
Ct No. 29
SB

CRM (A) 2241 of 2024

Ranjan Majumder
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Anr.

Mr. Chinmoy Pal	
Mr. Archan Dutta	
Ms. Aditi Bhattacharyya	
Mr. Arunabha Dutta	
Ms. Sayantani Roy	... for the petitioner.
Ms. Subhasree Patel	
Mr. Arup Sarkar	... for the State
Mr. Aniruddha Ganguly	... for the de facto complainant

1. Learned advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner was in a consensual relationship and he has been falsely implicated.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the de facto complainant submits that before the learned Sessions Judge, at the time of hearing of the anticipatory bail application, the victim girl has expressed her no objection towards granting of bail.
3. Considering the statement of the de facto complainant recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure which corroborates with a statement recorded under Section 164 of Code of Criminal Procedure and supported medical evidence, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
4. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is thus rejected.

(Uday Kumar, J.)

(Soumen Sen, J.)