

DL-5

12.09.2024
Court No.26
(AD)

CRC 10 of 2023

In

SRC 1 of 2023

In Re: Seeking action against Smt. Jhumpa Das Kar, Pradhan of Gopalnagar-I Gram Panchayat, Defendant No.3 of Title Suit No.31 of 2004 for having committed civil contempt of the Court of the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Bangaon, North 24-Parganas.

And

In the matter of: Jhumpa Das Kar, The Pradhan, Gopalnagar-I Gram Panchayat & Ors.

Mrs. Noelle Banerjee

Mrs. Ananya Chakraborty

... for the petitioner

Mr. Saptangsu Basu, Ld. Sr. Advocate

Mr. Anindya Halder,

... for the alleged contemnor no.3

Mr. Uday Sankar Chatterjee

Mr. Suman Sankar Chatterjee

... for the alleged contemnor nos.5 and 6

1. Contempt Rule was issued on the basis of a reference made by the trial Court under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.
2. During the pendency of the contempt petition, alleged contemnors took steps to comply with the order violation of which was complained of.
3. Alleged contemnors in two tranches filed application before the trial Court seeking mercy.
4. Alleged contemnor nos.5 and 6 applied before the trial Court for mercy and the same was granted.
5. So far as alleged contemnor no.3 is concerned, Court is informed that her application was accepted by the jurisdictional Court on September 10, 2024.
6. Learned Senior Advocate appearing for the alleged

contemnor no.3 submits that, in addition to the application seeking mercy before the trial Court, alleged contemnor no.3 ensured that, a girl child was admitted to a school and that, all educational expenses for such girl child was paid by the alleged contemnor no.3. He submits that, such steps were taken pursuant to the observations made by the Court in course of hearing of the contempt petition.

7. Since the order violation of which was complained of, was implemented and since the trial Court accepted the apology of the alleged contemnors before us and since the alleged contemnors tendered unconditional apology before us and all the alleged contemnors took steps in terms of the observations made by the Court from time to time, we are of the view that, no fruitful purpose would be sub-served by continuing with the contempt Rule.

8. **CRC 10 of 2023** is, therefore, discharged.

9. Personal appearance of all the alleged contemnors are dispensed with.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)