

27.09.2024  
Sl No.3-4  
Court No.29  
(sg)

**RVW 163 of 2024**  
**CAN 1 of 2024**  
**CAN 2 of 2024**  
**In**  
**MAT 1414 of 2022**  
**With**  
**MAT 1416 of 2022**

**Satyajit Ray Film & Television Institute & Ors.**  
**Vs.**  
**Subhadro Choudhury @ Suvadro Chowdhury & Ors.**

**With**

**RVW 164 of 2024**  
**CAN 1 of 2024**  
**CAN 2 of 2024**

**Satyajit Ray Film & Television Institute & Ors.**  
**Vs.**  
**Shyamal Sengupta & Ors.**

Ms. Susmita Saha Dutta  
Mr. Niladri Saha  
Ms. Madhurima Basu  
....for the review petitioner SRFTI  
Mr. Mainak Ganguly  
Ms. Sujatna Mukherjee  
Mr. Samart Dey Paul  
... for respondents/petitioners

1. The learned Counsel appearing for the applicant has submitted that during the pendency of this application, an order has been passed on 4<sup>th</sup> March, 2024.
2. The learned Counsel for the writ petitioners/respondents has submitted that the order has been complied with beyond reasonable time. However, the writ petitioners have received the order passed by the competent authority.
3. The explanations offered for not being able to comply with the order within time stipulated, found satisfactory and hence accepted.
4. The applications for extension of time are allowed.

5. We also record that the order has been complied with within extended period.
6. In view thereof, all the Review petitions along with connected applications are accordingly, disposed of.

**(Soumen Sen, J.)**

**(Uday Kumar, J.)**