

11.06.2024
SL No.25
Court No.29
TN
(Rejected)

CRM (A) 1866 of 2024

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed in connection with Raghunathganj Police Station Case No.133 of 2024 dated 11.02.2024 under Sections 498A/304B/34 of the Indian Penal Code being charge sheet no. 369 of 2024 dated 08.05.2014 under Sections 498A/304B/306/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In the matter of : **Lutfa Bibi @ Bula Bibi @ Lutfatnesha and another.**

- petitioners.

Ms. Shabana Hasin

....for the petitioners.

Mr. Arindam Sen,
Ms. Rita Datta

... for the State.

1. The learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner no.1 is the mother-in-law and the petitioner no. 2 is the brother-in-law of the victim. It is submitted that they are innocent and falsely implicated.
2. Learned Counsel for the State in opposing the prayer for anticipatory bail has referred to the statement of the child witness recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code and the statement of the neighbours.
3. Considering the materials available in the case diary, the statement of the child witness and the statement of the neighbours implicating the petitioners, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
4. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Soumen Sen, J.)

(Uday Kumar, J.)