

25.04.2024
Court No.21
Sl. No.3
KB

**W.P.A. 13418 of 2023
(Assigned)**

**Shri Nilay Saha
-Vs-
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Sankar Paul
Mr. Goutam Malik
... For the petitioner.

Mr. Ranjan Saha
Mr. Chandan Kumar Mandal
... For the State.

Mr. Tapash Kumar Bhattacharya
Mr. Aviroop Bhattacharya
... For the Respondents No.7 & 8.

The learned advocate-on-record representing the writ petitioner files an affidavit-of-service. Let it be kept with the record.

The State is being represented and the private respondents i.e. the respondents no. 7 and 8 are also being represented.

The learned advocate-on-record representing the writ petitioner furnishes a letter signed by the writ petitioner and submits that the writ petitioner does not intend to proceed with the writ petition.

Considered the submission of the learned Advocate-on-Record and after going through the said letter it is apparent that the writ petitioner does not want to proceed with the writ petition instead wants to withdraw the same.

As such, the instant writ petition, being **WPA 13418 of 2023** is **dismissed for non-prosecution**.

All parties to act in terms of a copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties on compliance of necessary formalities.

(Supratim Bhattacharya, J.)