

11.12.2024
(D/L-4)
Ct. No.21
(Naba)

W.P.A. 13365 of 2023

**Shri Taraknath Roy & Anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Kushal Chatterjee,
Mr. Oishik Chatterjee.
... for the Petitioners

Mr. Ayan Banerjee,
Mr. Suman Banerjee,
Mr. Dhiman Banerjee.
... for the Respondent Nos.12 to 15

Mr. Atreya Chakraborty
... for the Haripal Panchayat/Respondent
Nos.5 & 6

Mr. Suman Basu,
Ms. Debanwita Pramanik
... for the Hooghly Zila Parishad

1. The learned Counsel representing the petitioners submits that the petitioners do not intend to proceed with the writ application and in support of that he has furnished a written letter. Let the letter be kept with the record.
2. The learned Counsel representing the private respondent nos.12 to 15, who are the cousin brothers of the petitioners, submits that the disputed construction has been constructed in accordance with the sanctioned plan and as such the matter has been settled between the parties.

3. The learned Counsel representing the respondent nos. 7 and 8 and the learned Counsel representing the Panchayat i.e. respondent no.5 do not raise any objection.
4. Accordingly, the instant application being WPA No. 13365 of 2023 is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Supratim Bhattacharya, J.)