

22.05.2024
DL-32
Court No.3
(AD)
(Rejected)

C.R.M. (A) 1777 of 2024

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Kirnahar** Police Station Case No. **60 of 2024** dated **08.05.2024** under Sections **498A/323/313/306/304B/34** of the Indian Penal Code. (G.R. Case No.619 of 2024)

And

In the matter of: **Ramdas Bairagya @ Ram Ratan Das Bairgya & Ors.**

....petitioners.

Mr. Sanjib Kumar Dan

... for the petitioners.

Mr. Binay Kumar Panda

Ms. Rafat Jahan

... for the State.

1. Petitioners before us are the in-laws of the victim.
2. Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that, the petitioner no.3 is the married sister-in-law and was falsely implicated.
3. We gave our anxious consideration to the submission made on behalf of the petitioners.
4. Post-mortem report suggests that, there are homicidal cut marks *albeit* old on the body of the victim.
5. Post-mortem records that, the death was due to the effects of hanging ante-mortem in nature.
6. The injuries found on the body of the victim suggest that, she was subjected to physical torture.
7. Death occurred at the paternal home of the victim.
8. The existence of such injuries on the body of the victim does not prompt us to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
9. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected.

10. **C.R.M. (A) 1777 of 2024** is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)