

03.12.2024
Sl. No.51
Ct. No.15
S.A.

WPA 14117 of 2024

Kartick Chandra Dian
-vs-
State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Debabrata Mondal
Mr. Asim Hati
Ms. Sreetama Neogi
Ms. Nandini Sharma
Ms. Antariksha Karmakar
Ms. Ditipriya Mondal
...for the petitioner
Mr. Srijan Nayak
Mr. D. Chakraborty
...for the KMC
Mr. Santanu Kumar Mitra
Mr. Amartya Pal
...for the State

Let the report filed by the Officer-in-Charge,
Burrabazar Police Station, be kept on record.

Mr. Asim Hati, learned advocate for the
petitioner, submits that due to obstruction caused by
Respondent No. 8, the petitioner has been unable to
install a gate in front of the shop in question, despite
having obtained permission from the landlord to do
so, in order to ensure the safety and security of the
premises.

Respondent No. 8 is not represented at the time
of the hearing.

The police report indicates that a charge sheet
has been submitted against Respondent No. 8 under
Sections 341, 323, and 506 of the Indian Penal Code
in connection with Burrabazar P.S. Case No. 98,
dated May 18, 2024.

In light of the foregoing, I direct the Officer-in-Charge, Burrabazar Police Station, to ensure that the petitioner is allowed to install the gate in front of the shop room on the ground floor and that no obstruction is caused by Respondent No. 8 in this regard.

It is further clarified that if any obstruction is created by Respondent No. 8, the Officer-in-Charge, Burrabazar Police Station, shall take immediate and appropriate action.

Accordingly, **WPA 14117 of 2024** is disposed of.

Urgent certified photocopy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties on compliance of usual legal formalities.

(Kausik Chanda, J.)